

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

RAJU AT DAHLSTROM,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAY ROBERT INSLEE, STATE OF  
WASHINGTON, CHRISTOPHER  
JOHN DAIGLE, CASEY K. COREY,  
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MICHAEL E.  
HAAS, SCOTT W. ROSEKRANS,  
WALTER H. PERRY, THOMAS A.  
BROTHERTON, JAMES MITCHELL  
KENNEDY, JILL LANDES, and  
KEITH CHANDLER HARPER,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-262-JNW

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
REMAND

Plaintiff Raju A.T. Dahlstrom brings tort claims arising out of a traffic  
citation he received on July 25, 2014.<sup>1</sup> Dahlstrom filed his original complaint in

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court remains skeptical that Dahlstrom's claims are timely. Dahlstrom alleges claims under the Washington Consumer Protection Act and the Washington Law Against Discrimination, as well as a tort claim for false arrest. But the details in his complaint indicate that his claims may be time barred. *See, e.g.*, RCW § 19.86.120 (four-year limitations period for Washington Consumer Protection Act claims); *Antonius v. King Cnty.*, 103 P.3d 729, 732 (Wash. 2004) (citing RCW

1 Skagit County Superior Court. Dkt. No. 1-1 at 1. Defendants United States of  
2 America, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement, United States  
3 Customs and Border Protection, and United States Citizenship and Immigration  
4 Services (collectively, “Federal Defendants”) removed to this Court on February 11,  
5 2025. Dkt. No. 1. After Defendants moved to dismiss, Dahlstrom filed an amended  
6 complaint and voluntarily dismissed all Federal Defendants. Dkt. Nos. 21, 22, 23,  
7 24, 25. Dahlstrom then moved to remand to Skagit County Superior Court. Dkt. No.  
8 32 at 1.

9 Remaining Defendants Jay Robert Inslee, Washington State Patrol,  
10 Christopher John Daigle, Casey K. Corey, Walter H. Perry, Thomas A. Brotherton,  
11 James Mitchell Kennedy, Jill Landes, Keith Chandler Harper, Jefferson County,  
12 Michael E. Haas, and Scott W. Rosekrans concede that Dahlstrom’s amended  
13 complaint lacks a basis for federal jurisdiction and should be remanded to state  
14 court. Dkt. Nos. 35 at 2; 36 at 1. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Dahlstrom’s  
15 motion to remand, Dkt. No. 32, and STRIKES as moot the pending motions at Dkt.  
16 Nos. 40, 41.

17  
18  
19  
20 § 4.16.080(2)) (the statute of limitations under the Washington Law Against  
21 Discrimination is three years); *Heckart v. City of Yakima*, 708 P.2d 407, 407 (Wash.  
22 1985) (“[T]he [two]-year statute of limitation contained in RCW 4.16.100(1) applies  
23 to actions for false arrest.”). Because the Court remands this case to the state court  
it declines to weigh in on whether Dahlstrom’s claims are timely.

Dated this 21st day of April, 2025.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jamal W", is written over a solid black horizontal line.

Jamal N. Whitehead  
United States District Judge